

**LEGISLATIVE SERVICES AGENCY
OFFICE OF FISCAL AND MANAGEMENT ANALYSIS**

301 State House
(317) 232-9855

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

LS 7986

BILL NUMBER: HB 1591

DATE PREPARED: Jan 9, 2001

BILL AMENDED:

SUBJECT: Tax abatement.

FISCAL ANALYST: Bob Sigalow

PHONE NUMBER: 232-9859

FUNDS AFFECTED: **GENERAL**
 X DEDICATED
 FEDERAL

IMPACT: State & Local

Summary of Legislation: This bill specifies that the provision limiting a property tax abatement for new manufacturing equipment to the extent that it would cause the assessed value of all personal property of the owner in the taxing district in which the equipment is located to be less than the assessed value of all personal property of the owner in that taxing district in the immediately preceding year does not apply to new manufacturing equipment located in Gibson County if the total cost of all new manufacturing equipment placed into service in the county by the owner exceeds \$500 M and the economic revitalization area in which the new manufacturing equipment was installed was approved by the designating body before January 1, 2001.

Effective Date: March 1, 2001 (retroactive).

Explanation of State Expenditures:

Explanation of State Revenues: The State levies a one cent tax rate for State Fair and State Forestry. Any reduction in the assessed value base will reduce the property tax revenue for these two funds.

Explanation of Local Expenditures:

Explanation of Local Revenues: Under current law, a property tax abatement for new manufacturing equipment may not cause a taxpayer's assessed valuation to be lower than it was in the preceding year. Under this provision, a taxpayer who has placed new equipment into service in Gibson County with a total cost exceeding \$500 M would not be subject to the minimum assessment. The ERA must have been approved before January 1, 2001 to qualify. This bill would be effective with taxes first paid in CY 2002.

Since tax abatements cannot reduce the existing tax base under current law, an abatement does not cause an increase in the property tax rate. As the abated value is gradually placed on the tax rolls, part of the property

tax burden is shifted from all taxpayers to the owners of the abated property in the form of a reduced tax rate.

However, if the abatement reduces the taxpayer's existing assessment under this provision, then the property tax rate for all taxpayers would rise to compensate for the reduced valuation base, shifting part of the tax burden from the taxpayer receiving the deduction to all taxpayers. The tax rate would still be reduced over time as the property's value is gradually placed on the tax rolls.

One taxpayer has been identified as qualifying for the increased abatement under this provision. The CY 2001 Pay 2002 AV in question amounts to an estimated \$17 M while the CY 2002 net property tax shift could amount to \$1.4 M. This is a ten year abatement so there would be a shift each year, although the shift amount would diminish over the ten years.

Total local revenues, except for cumulative funds, would remain unchanged. The revenue for cumulative funds would be reduced by the product of the fund rate multiplied by the deduction amount applicable to that fund.

State Agencies Affected: State Board of Tax Commissioners.

Local Agencies Affected: Gibson County Auditor; Civil taxing units and school districts in Patoka Township, Gibson County

Information Sources: